

Application No. 10/511,376

Reply to Office Action

*REMARKS/ARGUMENTS*


The Office Action requires the Applicants to elect one of the following two groups:

Group I, which includes claims 1-14 and 16-27, directed to a method for producing an automotive vehicle door; and

Group II, which includes claim 15, directed to a vehicle door.

While Applicants do not agree with this restriction requirement, in order to expedite prosecution, Applicants elect, without traverse, the claims of Group I (i.e., claims 1-14 and 16-27) for further prosecution and preserve the right to file a divisional application directed to the invention of Group II (i.e., claim 15). If, in the opinion of the Examiner, a telephone conference would expedite the prosecution of the subject application, the Examiner is invited to call the undersigned attorney.

Respectfully submitted,



Salim A. Hasan, Reg. No. 38,175  
LEYDIG, VOIT & MAYER, LTD.  
Two Prudential Plaza, Suite 4900  
180 North Stetson Avenue  
Chicago, Illinois 60601-6731  
(312) 616-5600 (telephone)  
(312) 616-5700 (facsimile)

Date: January 11, 2007.